


erfimega@gmail.com 1

Transformational Leadership in the Digital Age: Adaptive Strategies in Higher Education Institutions

 Asesores - no repository 15

 Library A

 Asesores

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid::1:3289937813

Submission Date

Jul 3, 2025, 10:21 PM GMT-5

Download Date

Jul 3, 2025, 10:29 PM GMT-5

File Name

Jurnal_AI_Ishlah_Mulyadi.docx

File Size

103.3 KB

16 Pages





8,620 Words

56,152 Characters




23% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

Match Groups

-  **76 Not Cited or Quoted 19%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **12 Missing Quotations 1%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **5 Missing Citation 2%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 22%  Internet sources
- 19%  Publications
- 17%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Match Groups

- **76 Not Cited or Quoted 19%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
- **12 Missing Quotations 1%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
- **5 Missing Citation 2%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
- **0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 22% Internet sources
- 19% Publications
- 17% Submitted works (Student Papers)

Top Sources

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	Student papers		
	Universitas Islam Riau		3%
2	Internet		
	journal.staihubbulwathan.id		1%
3	Student papers		
	Universitas Siliwangi		<1%
4	Internet		
	ejournal2.undip.ac.id		<1%
5	Student papers		
	Segi University College		<1%
6	Internet		
	iieta.org		<1%
7	Internet		
	iredu.u-bourgogne.fr		<1%
8	Internet		
	riunet.upv.es		<1%
9	Internet		
	ejournal.insuriponorogo.ac.id		<1%
10	Internet		
	systems.enpress-publisher.com		<1%

11	Internet	ejournal.unuja.ac.id	<1%
12	Internet	www.frontiersin.org	<1%
13	Student papers	University of Wollongong	<1%
14	Internet	articlegateway.com	<1%
15	Internet	www.ojs.ycit.or.id	<1%
16	Internet	ejournal.uinsaizu.ac.id	<1%
17	Internet	journal.ppmi.web.id	<1%
18	Internet	bth.diva-portal.org	<1%
19	Internet	trustworthyai-lab.icube.unistra.fr	<1%
20	Internet	www.enssib.fr	<1%
21	Internet	infeb.org	<1%
22	Internet	investigacion.usc.es	<1%
23	Internet	johepal.com	<1%
24	Internet	www.ssbfnct.com	<1%

25	Internet	dspace.ut.ee	<1%
26	Internet	www.journal.staihubbulwathan.id	<1%
27	Internet	emrbi.org	<1%
28	Student papers	Universitas Lancang Kuning	<1%
29	Internet	www.produccioncientificaluz.org	<1%
30	Student papers	University of Witwatersrand	<1%
31	Internet	repository.unibos.ac.id	<1%
32	Publication	"Higher Education in the Arab World", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, ...	<1%
33	Internet	ejournal.imbima.org	<1%
34	Internet	journal.unismuh.ac.id	<1%
35	Student papers	Camarines Sur Polytechnic Colleges	<1%
36	Internet	drpress.org	<1%
37	Internet	www.j-psp.com	<1%
38	Internet	academic-publishing.org	<1%

39	Publication	Abukar Mukhtar Omar, Mohamed Omar Abdullahi. "A bibliometric analysis of sus...	<1%
40	Internet	bpasjournals.com	<1%
41	Internet	journal.unnes.ac.id	<1%
42	Internet	9pdf.net	<1%
43	Internet	sciendo.com	<1%
44	Internet	www.mdpi.com	<1%
45	Publication	Jose Ermeson Silva Carmo, Daniel Pacheco Lacerda, Cristina Orsolin Klingenberg, ...	<1%
46	Publication	Xin Li, Malaysia Zexun Huang. "A Bibliometric Study of Blended Learning in Highe...	<1%
47	Internet	link.springer.com	<1%
48	Internet	noyam.org	<1%
49	Internet	scholarworks.waldenu.edu	<1%
50	Internet	www.aiu.ac.in	<1%
51	Publication	"North American and European Perspectives on Sustainability in Higher Educatio...	<1%
52	Publication	Bruno Broucker, Rosalind Pritchard, René Krempkow, Clare Milsom. "Transformat...	<1%

53	Publication	Samrah Shariq, Salman Hameed, Adnan Butt. "Disparity in sustainability compete...	<1%
54	Internet	archive.conscientiabeam.com	<1%
55	Internet	assets-eu.researchsquare.com	<1%
56	Internet	boa.unimib.it	<1%
57	Internet	digilibadmin.unismuh.ac.id	<1%
58	Internet	ecohumanism.co.uk	<1%
59	Internet	educationaltechnologyjournal.springeropen.com	<1%
60	Internet	geox.udistrital.edu.co	<1%
61	Internet	iiari.org	<1%
62	Internet	journal.iapa.or.id	<1%
63	Internet	journal.sinergi.or.id	<1%
64	Internet	ojs3.unpatti.ac.id	<1%
65	Internet	ouci.dntb.gov.ua	<1%
66	Internet	repository.up.ac.za	<1%

67	Internet	rsisinternational.org	<1%
68	Internet	scholar.utc.edu	<1%
69	Internet	telsoc.org	<1%
70	Internet	www.arcjournals.org	<1%
71	Internet	www.bidgeyayinlari.com.tr	<1%
72	Internet	www.preprints.org	<1%
73	Publication	"Digital Transformation in Higher Education Institutions", Springer Science and B...	<1%
74	Publication	Aria Bisri, Atina Putri, Yusep Rosmansyah. "A Systematic Literature Review on Dig...	<1%
75	Publication	Ghasem Salimi, Azadeh Roodsaz, Mehdi Mohammadi, Fahimeh Keshavarzi, Amin ...	<1%
76	Publication	Yinglong Qiu. "Futura Internacionalización de la educación superior en China y Es...	<1%

Al-Ishlah: Jurnal Pendidikan

Vol.14, 1 (April, 2022), pp. 61-70

ISSN: 2087-9490 EISSN: 2597-940X, DOI: 10.35445/alishlah.v14i1.973

Transformational Leadership in the Digital Age: Adaptive Strategies in Higher Education Institutions

Mulyadi¹, Akhsanul In'am², Khozin³

¹ Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia; mulyadi@stkipgrisumenep.ac.id

² Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia; akhsanul@umm.ac.id

² Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia; khozin@umm.ac.id

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Transformational Leadership;
Digital Transformation; Higher
Education, Innovation

(List three to five pertinent keywords specific to the article; yet reasonably common within the subject discipline; use lower case except for names).

Article history:

Received 2021-08-14

Revised 2021-11-12

Accepted 2022-01-17

ABSTRACT

The rapid acceleration of digital transformation in higher education has posed significant challenges for academic institutions, particularly in developing countries where organizational inertia and uneven digital readiness persist. This study explores how transformational leadership enables strategic adaptation and innovation during the digitalization process in higher education institutions. Using a qualitative research design with a social-phenomenological approach, data were collected through in-depth interviews with academic leaders, faculty members, and students at a public university. Thematic analysis revealed that transformational leadership—characterized by visionary direction, participatory governance, and empowerment—is critical in shaping an inclusive and collaborative digital culture. Faculty and students responded positively to leadership practices emphasizing transparency, involvement, and recognition, enhancing ownership and reducing resistance to technological change. The study also found that effective leadership bridges the gap between policy and practice by facilitating inter-unit coordination and supporting stakeholders' emotional and cognitive adaptation. A key novelty of this research lies in its contextual emphasis: unlike prior studies conducted primarily in Western or corporate settings, this study illustrates the applicability and limitations of transformational leadership in a hierarchical, resource-constrained, and culturally nuanced academic environment. The findings contribute to leadership theory by demonstrating the socio-cultural dependencies of digital adaptation and offer practical guidance for institutional leaders seeking to foster sustainable innovation. Furthermore, the study highlights the ethical imperatives of equitable digital access and inclusive governance, emphasizing that effective digital transformation requires technological infrastructure and human-centred, ethically grounded leadership.

This is an open access article under the [CC BY-NC-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/) license.



Corresponding Author:**Mulyadi**Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia, mulyadi@stkipgrisumenep.ac.id**1. INTRODUCTION**

Higher education has faced rapid and fundamental changes in recent decades, particularly due to the digital revolution that has permeated almost all aspects of life (Vachkova et al., 2022). Digital transformation is no longer an option but an inevitability that higher education institutions worldwide must confront (Galvis & Carvajal, 2022). This phenomenon encompasses the digitization of teaching and learning processes, institutional management, academic information systems, and patterns of interaction among academic staff (Rof, Bikfalvi, & Marquès, 2020). In this context, leadership plays a strategic role in navigating these changes, ensuring that the innovations brought about by technology are properly internalized and result in sustainable institutional transformation (Garcez, Silva, & Franco, 2022). With its visionary, inspirational, and empowerment-based characteristics, transformational leadership has become a relevant and widely discussed leadership model in organizational change (Giesenbauer & Müller-Christ, 2020).

In higher education, transformational leaders can build an innovative culture, stimulate the creativity of lecturers and educational staff, and create an adaptive environment to technological dynamics (Henderikx & Stoffers, 2022). However, the implementation of these leadership principles is not always easy. Many universities, especially in developing countries, are still stuck in rigid and hierarchical administrative bureaucracy, which hinders the digital transformation process that should be fast and dynamic (Zhou, Smith, & Al-Samarraie, 2023).

The main problem that often arises is the gap between the demands of the ever-changing external environment and the internal leadership capacity of institutions to respond adaptively (Gafurov, Safiullin, Akhmetshin, Gapsalamov, & Vasilev, 2020). In many universities, institutional leaders focus on administrative managerial aspects and pay little attention to strategic dimensions of innovation and digital transformation (Farias-Gaytan, Aguaded, & Ramirez-Montoya, 2022). Additionally, many academic leaders have not fully grasped the role of digital technology in enhancing operational efficiency, learning quality, and institutional competitiveness. As a result, although some digital initiatives have been implemented, their sustainability and impact are often insignificant (Klempin & Karp, 2018). Furthermore, the changing generation of students who are increasingly digital natives demands transformation at the technological level and in leadership patterns that can understand their learning preferences, communication styles, and expectations (Alenezi & Akour, 2023). In such a situation, leaders who rely solely on structural authority without adopting a transformational approach will likely fail to create meaningful change (Valdés, Alpera, & Suárez, 2021). Therefore, a deep understanding of transformational leadership in higher education is crucial, especially in managing complex and dynamic digital innovation and adaptation (Al-Husseini, El Beltagi, & Moizer, 2021).

This research's urgency is based on conceptual and theoretical developments and empirical evidence showing a gap between leadership strategies and digital transformation outcomes in many higher education institutions (Mohamed Hashim, Tlemsani, & Matthews, 2022). For example, a UNESCO report (2022) states that only about 36% of higher education institutions in developing countries have comprehensive digital strategies led by top institutional management (Fernández, Gómez, Binjaku, & Meçe, 2023). Most of them still adopt a partial and reactive approach to technological change. In Indonesia alone, data from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology shows that in 2023, only 28% of higher education institutions have a digitalization roadmap, while the rest are still in the initiation stage or have not even started (Hardiansyah & Zainuddin, 2022). This shows that strategic leadership for digital transformation is still not a widespread practice. From a qualitative perspective, interviews with several university leaders in Indonesia reveal major challenges in building collective awareness and institutional commitment to digital change. The rector of a well-known state university stated that "even though the technology is available, change will not happen if

there are no leaders who are willing to take risks and build a shared vision." This statement reflects that technology is merely a tool, while the success of transformation depends heavily on the quality and orientation of leadership. In a qualitative study conducted by (Barzman et al., 2021), it was found that universities led by rectors with a transformational leadership style showed higher levels of digital readiness, characterized by optimal adoption of LMS (Learning Management System), regular training for lecturers, and data-based management systems.

Analysis of the quantitative and qualitative data above shows a strong relationship between transformational leadership capacity and the success of digital adaptation in higher education institutions. However, little research has systematically analyzed how transformational leadership strategies are specifically applied in the context of digitalization and how this drives institutional innovation processes. Therefore, this study is important to fill this gap and contribute to developing leadership theory in the digital era. The research questions to be addressed in this study are: (1) How do transformational leadership characteristics in higher education institutions drive digital adaptation and innovation processes? (2) What strategies do transformational leaders use to manage technology-based change in higher education institutions? and (3) What factors support or hinder transformational leadership's effectiveness in campus digitalization? The main objective of this study is to identify and analyze the role and strategies of transformational leadership in facilitating digital adaptation and innovation in higher education. In addition, this study also aims to explore the internal and external dynamics that influence leadership effectiveness in the context of technological disruption, as well as to produce conceptual and practical contributions to the development of leadership models relevant to the challenges of the 21st century.

Several previous studies have highlighted the importance of transformational leadership in driving organizational innovation. Research by (Green et al., 2020), pioneers in developing transformational leadership theory, states that transformational leaders can create fundamental change in organizations through vision, inspiration, and individual support. In education, (Bryant, Ayers, Missimer, & Broman, 2021) show that transformational leadership contributes positively to learning culture, staff motivation, and institutional success. Research by (Żywiółek, Tucmeanu, Tucmeanu, Isac, & Yousaf, 2022) confirms that transformational leadership directly impacts the innovation climate within organizations by stimulating critical thinking and encouraging the exploration of new ideas. In a more specific study on higher education institutions, (Otto et al., 2024) found that transformational leadership enhances innovation capacity at both the individual and organizational levels through intrinsic motivation and empowerment. Similar results were found in a study by (Ngoc, Hoang, & Hung, 2020), which noted that strategic and adaptive leadership qualities significantly influenced universities that successfully transformed their digital learning systems. In the context of digital transformation, research by (Laufer et al., 2021) in Higher Education Quarterly states that the successful integration of technology in universities is largely determined by the role of leadership in facilitating organizational change. He notes that leaders who exhibit transformational characteristics tend to be more successful in creating an environment that supports digital innovation and technology-based learning. Similar research by (Loorbach & Wittmayer, 2024) identifies that inclusive and visionary leadership plays a significant role in enhancing digital readiness and active participation of academic staff in using new technologies.

However, most previous studies are still descriptive or focus on the impact of technology on teaching and learning processes without elaborating in depth on the strategic role of leadership in initiating, managing, and stabilizing digital change. These studies also often fail to distinguish the context of higher education in developing countries, which face unique challenges such as limited digital infrastructure, hierarchical organizational culture, and resistance to change. Therefore, although the existing literature has identified the link between transformational leadership and innovation, there is still a need for more comprehensive and contextual research that explains what works and how and why transformational leadership strategies can effectively promote the digitization of higher education

institutions. This study seeks to address this need through empirical exploration based on data from universities in Indonesia as a representation of developing countries.

This gap is what this study aims to bridge. The absence of a conceptual model that integrates the dimensions of transformational leadership with digital innovation strategies in the context of higher education creates a need for comprehensive and contextual studies. Moreover, digital transformation is not merely a technical issue but a social and cultural process that requires leadership to be sensitive to organizational dynamics and capable of building cohesion amid change. This research offers a novelty in the form of an interdisciplinary approach that combines perspectives on leadership, strategic management, and digital transformation in the context of higher education. Unlike previous studies focusing on technological aspects or organizational structures, this research places leadership at the centre of digital change. The scientific justification for this research lies in formulating a conceptual and practical framework on how transformational leadership can be translated into concrete strategies that impact digital adaptation and innovation. Practically, this study's findings are expected to guide higher education leaders in designing policies, building a digital culture, and navigating the complexities of change with a visionary and inclusive approach.

2. METHODS

This study uses a qualitative approach with a phenomenological exploratory method. This approach was chosen because the study's main objective is to gain an in-depth understanding of the subjective experiences, perceptions, and practices of transformational leadership in promoting digital adaptation and innovation in higher education. The phenomenological approach is highly relevant for exploring the meanings constructed by individuals in facing specific social realities, in this case, digital transformation in higher education institutions. As stated by Creswell (2013), a qualitative approach is necessary when researchers want to gain a contextual and in-depth understanding of phenomena that have not been empirically studied and are still open to various interpretations. The research was conducted at a state university in Indonesia undergoing a digital transformation in academic management and learning. This university was selected through purposive sampling because it has contextual characteristics that align with the focus of the research; namely, it is implementing a vision-based digitalization initiative. The unit of analysis in this study is social interaction and organizational practices that reflect the dynamics of transformational leadership.

The primary data sources in this study include academic leaders (rector, dean, and program director), lecturers, and students as part of the academic community directly affected by the digitalization process. The involvement of these three groups is intended to obtain a holistic and triangulated perspective on leadership and digital transformation. The leaders provide strategic overviews and policies, lecturers reflect academic implementation, and students provide the perspective of direct recipients of change. The research procedure begins with initial observation, namely observation of the digitalization systems implemented (such as LMS, academic information systems, and other digital services) and observation of interaction patterns between leaders and the academic community. These observations aim to identify the natural organizational context and map initial indicators relevant to transformational leadership characteristics. The results of the observations reveal variations in the level of technology adoption and differences in perceptions of the direction of change, confirming the need for further exploration through in-depth data collection techniques.

The primary data collection technique is through semi-structured, in-depth interviews. These interviews allow flexibility in exploring participants' experiences and perceptions in a personal and in-depth manner while remaining guided by the conceptual framework of the research. This technique was chosen due to the phenomenological nature of the research, which requires the exploration of subjective meanings, relational dynamics, and individual experiences of complex change processes. Each interview was recorded, transcribed, and analyzed systematically. The following table presents the indicators for in-depth interviews based on informant categories:

Table 1. Interview Indicators Based on Data Sources

Respondent Category	Thematic Aspect	Interview Indicators
Academic Leaders	Vision and Strategic Direction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Perceptions on the urgency of digital transformation in higher education - Formulation of digitalization vision
	Leadership Style	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Strategic commitment to innovation - Daily leadership practices - Staff empowerment strategies - Decision-making models in change contexts
	Innovation Initiatives and Policies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Launched digital programs/policies - Program planning and evaluation
	Barriers and Challenges	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Cross-unit academic and non-academic involvement - Obstacles in digital adoption on campus - Strategies to overcome resistance to change - Support from external stakeholders
	Perception of Transformational Role	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Awareness of role as change agent - Self-assessment of involvement in building innovation culture - Changes in organizational culture
	Impact of Change	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Impact of digitalization on institutional reputation and competitiveness - Evaluation of rector/dean leadership in promoting innovation
	Perception of Leadership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Communication access to leadership - Involvement in strategic forums
Lecturers	Adoption of Learning Technologies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Experience using LMS and other digital platforms - ICT training and skill development - Changes in instructional design
	Support and Empowerment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Facilitation from leadership in teaching innovation - Sense of ownership toward change

		- Recognition and incentives for innovation
		- Workload during digital system transition
	Work Dynamics during Digitalization	- Technical and administrative support
		- Collaboration among lecturers and units
		- Individual or collective barriers in adopting technology
	Challenges and Resistance	- Perception of fairness in digitalization policies
		- Perceived effectiveness of digital transformation
	Outcomes and Impacts	- Impact on teaching quality and student satisfaction
		- Ease/difficulty using LMS, e-learning, and academic information systems
	Digital System Usage Experience	- Accessibility and responsiveness of digital services
		- Views on changes in learning patterns
	Perception of Change	- Comparison of experience before and after digitalization
		- Availability of lecturers and leaders via digital channels
	Interaction with Lecturers and Leaders	- Changes in academic communication
Students		- Student involvement in evaluation and development of digital platforms
	Participation in Innovation	- Opportunities to provide feedback
		- Technical issues (internet, devices, digital literacy)
	Barriers and Challenges	- Inequality in digital access among students
		- Impact on learning motivation, independence, and academic outcomes
	Impact of Change on Learning Process	- Perceptions of digital transformation sustainability

The data obtained from the interviews were analyzed using a Thematic Analysis approach described by (Farias-Gaytan, Aguaded, & Ramirez-Montoya, 2023). This analysis allows researchers to identify, analyze systematically, and report patterns (themes) in the data. This technique is chosen because of its flexibility in accommodating the complexity of qualitative data and its ability to link categories of meaning to developed theory. The analysis process began with repeatedly reading the interview transcripts, open coding relevant data segments, grouping codes into initial themes, refining

and naming final themes, and interpreting the meaning of the relationships between themes in the context of transformational leadership and digital innovation.

To ensure data validity, this study applies source and method triangulation techniques, which involve comparing information from various categories of informants and methods (observation and interviews). Triangulation aims to increase the credibility and validity of findings and reduce researcher subjectivity bias. Validation was conducted through member checking and open discussions with selected participants to ensure the alignment of the researcher's interpretations with the informants' original experiences. With this in-depth and systematic methodological design, the study is expected to generate a rich contextual understanding and provide conceptual and practical contributions to developing an adaptive transformational leadership model for digital change in higher education.

3. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Digital Leadership Vision and Strategy

Digital transformation in higher education involves more than merely the adoption of technological tools. It involves a paradigm shift, a change in values, and a reorientation of the institution's overall strategic direction. In this context, strategic leadership plays a vital role, especially in formulating a vision that can inspire all elements of the organization to move towards purposeful, participatory, and sustainable digital change.

Most of the academic leaders interviewed demonstrated a strong understanding of the urgency of digital transformation. Rector stated: "Digital transformation for us is an investment in the future. It is not just to make the campus look modern, but to overhaul the work systems, learning, and ways of thinking." This statement illustrates how the vision of digitalization is directed at technological aspects and encompasses the values of organizational cultural change. Some leaders have even developed a five-year digital transformation blueprint: reformulating the digital curriculum, integrating academic information systems, strengthening digital literacy for lecturers, and mapping long-term infrastructure needs. In line with this, the "strategic commitment to innovation" indicator emerged in the narratives of faculty leaders who stated: "We are not just following trends. Our vision must be concrete: how technology improves the efficiency and quality of academic services." From a thematic analysis of the interviews, three patterns emerged consistently: (1) leadership awareness of the need for change, (2) participatory vision formulation, and (3) strategies for involving all units in digitalization planning.

Transformational leadership is characterized by building an inspiring vision and providing clear strategic direction (Gruzina, Mel' nichuk, & Belogash, 2020). In this context, a digital vision is not just a written document but a "collective mobilization tool" that encourages lecturers and students to feel involved in the change. A participatory vision, as found in the interviews, creates a sense of ownership and strengthens commitment to achieving digitalization goals. Research by (Hardiansyah, Sukitman, Wahdian, & Hodairiyah, 2024) shows that a vision developed through cross-unit dialogue is more effective in creating consistency of direction and reducing resistance. This aligns with field data, where several institutions hold "digital town hall" forums as spaces for discussion and feedback on transformation plans.

In this framework, vision is not an isolated entity but the foundation of the entire campus management system. Interestingly, the interview results also show that students who understand the institution's vision are more proactive in adopting technology. One student said: "We know the campus wants all systems to be paperless and fast. So, we are also learning to be adaptive." This shows that a digital vision's success is assessed from the perspective of leaders and lecturers and how students respond and adjust their academic behaviour. In the framework of transformational leadership, this is an indicator of the success of inspirational motivation (Zhou et al., 2023), where the vision succeeds in creating collective enthusiasm.

Although most informants conveyed positive aspects, there were also critical notes. Some lecturers said that the formulation of the vision was sometimes too technocratic, failing to consider the readiness

of human resources. One lecturer stated: "The vision is good, but the implementation is often too fast, without sufficient training. We feel left behind." This criticism is important because it shows that the success of vision formulation depends heavily on the balance between big ideas and implementation realities. This is also reflected in a study by (Rof et al., 2020), which found that campuses with successful digital leadership always adjust the pace of change to the capacity of organizational readiness.

From the results and studies presented, it is clear that digital leadership vision is not just a technical instrument but a transformative strategy. It connects various elements of the organization, from values, policies, and resources to organizational culture. Vision serves as both a driver and a unifying force for change. Within the framework of organizational complexity theory, digital transformation led by strategic vision creates self-organizing behaviour, where organizational units begin to take the initiative autonomously while remaining aligned with the overarching direction. This finding enriches the literature on transformational leadership in a dynamic and multilevel digital context.

Leadership Style and Empowerment

Amidst the challenges of digital transformation, the ability of leaders to become facilitators of change and mentors for the academic community has become increasingly crucial. In the context of higher education, a participatory, supportive, and communicative leadership style is a characteristic that encourages innovation, emotional engagement, and resilience to the pressures of change. Interviews with lecturers revealed that an open and accessible leadership style greatly influenced their comfort and participation in digitization programs. One lecturer stated: "We can directly contact the dean through a WhatsApp group. He often encourages us when we have difficulties developing digital teaching media." This statement indicates that an open leadership style—where leaders do not create rigid structural barriers—builds psychological safety for academic staff to ask questions, innovate, and try new things. Such leaders serve as role models, actively using digital platforms, responding quickly, and participating in training or innovation discussion forums. This aligns with the concept of "idealized influence" in (Khoza & Mpungose, 2022), which states that transformational leaders become role models because their values and actions are aligned. In digitalization, leaders willing to "get their hands dirty" using technology alongside lecturers will create a stronger innovative climate.

Transformational leadership style is also reflected in the way leaders build emotional relationships and two-way communication. Several lecturers stated that communication from institutional leaders is humanistic and not merely administrative. One lecturer said: "When there are lecturers who have difficulty understanding the LMS, the dean facilitates special assistance. They don't blame, but accompany." These relationships, built on empathy, foster loyalty and emotional attachment to the institution. In (Zamora-Polo & Sánchez-Martín, 2019) approach, this is referred to as "individualized consideration," which means paying attention to the needs and potential of individuals on a personal level. One student said: "We once suggested an automatic attendance feature in the LMS, and it was actually implemented. We feel valued." This finding is supported by research by (Garcez et al., 2022), which shows that organizational emotional involvement increases when leaders demonstrate responsiveness and attention to individual needs.

Several academic leaders interviewed said that leadership in the digital era cannot be hierarchical and one-way. One rector said, "We changed the bureaucratic structure to flatter it. Innovation needs a fluid ecosystem, not barriers between departments." This structural transformation was followed by forming interdisciplinary units such as a digitalization task force of lecturers, students, and IT staff. These initiatives show that leaders are taking on the role of facilitators of an innovative ecosystem rather than mere decision-makers. (Bryant et al., 2021) also found that an organizational climate that encourages cross-functional collaboration, supported by transformational leaders, has higher levels of innovation. In this context, a collaborative leadership style encourages organizational learning and accelerates digital transformation.

However, transformational leadership does not always run smoothly. Some lecturers said that empowerment without technical training can be confusing. One lecturer said, "We are given room to innovate, but not everyone knows where to start. The training is not intensive enough." This shows that

empowerment requires prerequisites such as resource readiness, training support, and clear expectations. Research by (Laufer et al., 2021) reinforces this by stating that innovative policies not accompanied by individual capacity building can create pressure and passive resistance.

From the above findings, it is evident that the transformational leadership style practised by university leaders significantly impacts the creation of a supportive, innovative, and adaptive work environment for digital transformation. The main drivers of change are elements such as autonomy, individual attention, collaboration, and open communication. This leadership style functions as a catalyst for change and an uncertainty buffer, where academic staff and students feel protected and guided in complex situations. Within the framework of educational leadership in the digital age, this role becomes increasingly vital as demands for adaptation, innovation, and lifelong learning continue to rise.

Innovative Initiatives and Digital Collaboration

Technological changes require not only the replacement of tools and systems but also adjustments to work culture, cross-unit learning, and synergy between organizational components. In the context of transformational leadership, leaders act as drivers of adaptive, progressive, and comprehensive innovation. From in-depth interviews with academic leaders, it was found that institutions that successfully implement digitalization generally have innovative initiatives that arise from a combination of strategic direction from leadership and bottom-up innovation. One rector stated: "We formed a digital innovation group of lecturers, students, and educational staff. The goal is for all parties to be involved from the beginning." This group serves as an incubator and a forum for evaluating ideas related to academic information systems, online learning, and digital administrative services. One tangible result of this forum is the development of an institutional Learning Management System (LMS) platform that was entirely designed by the campus's internal team. Students who were interviewed expressed their pride in being involved in testing and designing LMS features. One student said: "We were asked to complete a survey, then invited to a workshop with lecturers. We suggested that the system be accessible via mobile phones and have automatic notifications." The suggestion was accepted and implemented as a mobile-friendly interface and email reminders for academic assignments. Open collaboration between leaders, lecturers, and students can produce contextual and practical innovations.

Leadership support for the innovation ecosystem is not limited to facilitating ideas but also includes funding, incentives, and formal recognition of innovative work. One dean explained: "We allocate competitive funds for lecturers who develop digital modules and conduct colleague training. This is part of strengthening the community of innovators." This funding and incentive model creates a culture of innovation that encourages staff to continue trying, experimenting, and refining learning methods. Some lecturers have even initiated the development of academic YouTube channels, adaptive e-modules based on student needs, and automated assessment systems. These findings are in line with research by (Loorbach & Wittmayer, 2024), which states that institutional support, including from leadership, is a key factor in the emergence of sustainable digital education innovation.

Digital transformation requires cross-sectoral work within institutions—technology, academics, student services, and administration. The interview results found that institutions that encourage cross-unit collaboration have succeeded in creating more integrative innovations. A head of an IT unit said: "In the past, systems were developed separately, but now we are invited to design them together with the faculty. Lecturers and students are also involved. The results are more in line with our needs." This highlights the importance of removing structural barriers between technology and academic divisions. (Otto et al., 2024) concluded in their study that innovation only functions optimally in organizations that are open to cross-functional work and dare to break down bureaucratic silos.

Despite many successes, some lecturers noted that digital collaboration often faces psychological and structural barriers. One lecturer stated: "We are invited to participate, but sometimes the division of tasks is unclear. As a result, there is confusion in the field." This shows that good participation requires a clear coordination system and communicative leadership. Without proper coordination, collaboration can turn into overlap or become unproductive.

From the perspective of transformational leadership theory, collaboration and innovative initiatives reflect concrete forms of the principles of "inspirational motivation" and "intellectual stimulation." Leaders who motivate and encourage new ideas create a proactive and innovative work climate. (Gruzina et al., 2020) suggest that organizations with high transformational leadership tend to have a strong innovation climate, where initiatives come from leaders and all members of the organization. The findings of this study reinforce this theory, particularly in the context of higher education institutions in developing countries where digitalization still faces infrastructure and cultural challenges. Innovative initiatives and digital collaboration in higher education cannot be separated from the central role of transformational leadership.

Challenges in Digitalization and Strategies to Overcome Them

Digital transformation in higher education is a complex process that involves technical and administrative changes and touches on aspects of organizational culture, human resource readiness, and institutional governance. Therefore, the challenges in this process are often multidimensional, encompassing technical, psychological, social, and structural aspects. Based on in-depth interviews, it was found that the challenges of digitization in higher education occur at three primary levels: the institutional level, the individual staff level (especially lecturers), and the end-user level (students). Several academic leaders expressed infrastructure limitations as the main obstacle to implementing digital transformation. One dean stated: "The server is often overloaded, and the system is slow when many students log in. This is a technical obstacle that is immediately felt." Another issue that emerged was weak coordination between units in developing digital systems. An IT bureau chief revealed that there were "overlapping initiatives" due to a lack of integration between divisions.

This aligns with the findings of (Laufer et al., 2021), who stated that digitization will be hampered when organizations do not have a solid coordination framework and a structured change management system. Students, especially those from remote areas, cited internet access and device barriers as real challenges. One student stated: "When it rains, the signal disappears. Sometimes, I have to climb a hill to find a network." In addition, not all students have adequate devices. Digital literacy also varies; some students feel awkward using the LMS, uploading assignments, or communicating with lecturers online. This problem highlights the importance of viewing digitalization as a social issue, not just a technical one.

Although these challenges are systemic, academic leaders who apply transformational leadership principles have demonstrated several effective strategies to respond to and manage these obstacles. Some faculty leaders have adopted an empathetic approach to addressing faculty difficulties. One dean explained: "We do not blame faculty members who are slow to learn. We facilitate mentoring by younger faculty members." This approach not only reduces psychological stress but also builds solidarity between generations. This strategy reflects the principle of individualized consideration in transformational leadership, in which leaders pay attention to individuals' personal conditions and needs (Bryant et al., 2021).

Another successful strategy is tiered training tailored to the literacy level of each group of lecturers. In addition to formal training, the institution also facilitates a peer mentoring program, where lecturers who are proficient in technology informally assist their colleagues. This program has been well received because it is more flexible and based on horizontal relationships. Research by (Mohamed Hashim et al., 2022) emphasizes that contextual and continuous training is more effective than one-way mass training. This was confirmed in field findings, where institutions that provided needs-based training showed higher levels of lecturer satisfaction.

Literature indicates that the success of digitalization strategies in higher education is not solely determined by technological sophistication but by the readiness and support of all levels of the organization. Research by (Barzman et al., 2021) confirms that infrastructure without a strengthened digital culture will create an internal "digital divide." This aligns with field findings, where universities with good training and communication strategies tend to have lower resistance levels. Transformational leadership plays a crucial role as both a direction-setter and an emotional regulator

within the organization during times of change. This is emphasized in (Fernández et al., 2023) four-dimensional model, where leaders must balance motivation, consideration of individuals, ideal influence, and intellectual stimulation to drive sustainable change.

The challenges of higher education digitalization have proven complex and multidimensional. Infrastructure limitations, variations in digital literacy, workload, and access disparities are real obstacles that must be addressed. However, empathetic, adaptive, and collaborative transformational leadership strategies have proven effective in responding to these challenges constructively. Leaders who can turn crises into learning opportunities and obstacles into innovation opportunities demonstrate that digitalization is not merely a technological project but an institutional, cultural change that must be built from within.

Responses of the Academic Community to Leadership

Responses from the academic community are an important indicator of leadership effectiveness, especially amid major transformations such as the digitization of higher education institutions. In-depth interviews with lecturers and students revealed that emotional dynamics, trust, and engagement are strongly influenced by leadership style characteristics. Most of the lecturers interviewed showed positive responses to open and empowering leadership. One lecturer said: "The faculty leaders don't just give orders, they often discuss and ask for our opinions. That makes me feel valued." This response shows that involvement in the decision-making process has a positive psychological effect. Lecturers feel they have an active role in change rather than being mere objects of policy. This affirms the concept of "psychological ownership" in organizations, which, according to Pierce et al. (2001), encourages higher commitment and performance. However, responses to leadership are not always homogeneous. Some lecturers revealed that the leadership's overly formal and hierarchical communication style prevented expressing criticism or suggestions. One lecturer stated: "If we have ideas, we sometimes hesitate to express them. We are afraid of being seen as criticizing the leadership." This indicates that effective transformational leadership requires sensitivity to organizational culture and the context of communication.

This experience shows how the symbolic presence of leaders can build a sense of being heard and valued. In leadership literature, this is a form of inspirational motivation where leaders can inspire and unite people through direct interaction. Students responded positively when given space to participate in the digital innovation process, such as in platform trials, needs surveys, or feedback forums. Students felt that their involvement gave meaning to the decisions made. One respondent said: "We were not just asked to follow the system but also to contribute to improving it. That's what made me feel valued as part of the campus."

Thematic findings from interviews reveal a correlation between the level of involvement and positive perceptions of leadership. Faculty members involved in developing digital policies reported higher satisfaction with leadership performance. They also demonstrated greater initiative in developing digital learning content and participating in training. Conversely, responses tend to be passive and resistant in units where decisions are made top-down. Similar patterns emerge among students. Students who feel active communication from leaders and a responsive system show higher academic satisfaction and stronger loyalty to the institution. This aligns with research by (Green et al., 2020), which shows that transformational leadership increases student motivation and participation in primary and secondary education. In higher education, the same principle applies to adaptation to student academic autonomy.

Within the framework of transformational leadership theory, positive responses from followers are one indicator of a leader's effectiveness. (Bryant et al., 2021) explain that transformational leaders influence not only through their structural position but also through inspiration, trust, and attention to individual development. (Żywiołek et al., 2022) also emphasize that the level of organizational members' involvement strongly indicates innovative leadership success. Responses from the academic community in the universities studied reinforce these findings. When leaders are present symbolically and substantively, the emerging responses are supportive and active. Conversely, bureaucratic and

uncommunicative leadership creates a psychological distance that hinders collaboration. However, cultural context must also be considered.

The academic community's response to digital leadership highly depends on the quality of interaction, involvement, and transparency institutional leaders demonstrate. An open, participatory, and empathetic leadership style encourages faculty and students to feel like they are part of the change, not just recipients of policies. Transformational leadership has proven effective in fostering trust, participation, and loyalty in the digital transformation process at universities. Positive responses from the academic community serve as valuable social capital in maintaining the sustainability of innovation and enhancing the institution's competitiveness in the digital age.

The Impact of Digital Transformation on Institutional Culture and Performance

Digital transformation in higher education not only creates changes in campus technology or operational systems but also profoundly impacts organizational culture and institutional performance. These changes affect mindsets, work patterns, social relations, value systems, and perceptions of quality and competitiveness. This is where transformational leadership plays a central role—as the architect of cultural change and the driver of overall performance improvement. Field data from interviews with academic leaders, lecturers, and students was combined with a literature review to provide a comprehensive and in-depth analysis. Based on interviews with academic leaders, digitization has triggered a shift in the work culture on campus.

A vice-rector for academic affairs said: "Previously, we were used to manual, structural, and slow work. Now, everything is demanded to be faster, more open, and must be able to collaborate across departments." This statement confirms that digitization is replacing work media and reforming how the organization's actors think and act. An adaptive and open culture is replacing a rigid bureaucratic culture. This is reflected in changes in communication patterns among faculty members, faculty and students, and academic and administrative units. A study by (Bebbington, 2021) shows that sustainable digital innovation can only survive if accompanied by institutional cultural transformation. Institutions that can build a culture of learning, cross-disciplinary collaboration, and openness to technology will be better prepared to face the uncertainties of the times.

The lecturers indicated that digitization has changed how they design and deliver material. Most lecturers feel encouraged to be more creative in developing teaching media, more flexible in providing academic services, and more active in monitoring student progress. One lecturer said: "I used to just use slides and lectures. Now I make my own videos, use interactive polls, and hold online discussions. Students have become more responsive." This shift shows that the work culture of lecturers has become more dynamic, technology-based, and responsive to student needs.

On the other hand, these demands also increase the workload and require continuous adaptation. However, most lecturers who feel supported by leadership show higher job satisfaction because they feel productive and relevant. This is reinforced by the findings of (Trevisan, Eustachio, Dias, Filho, & Pedrozo, 2024), who state that transformational leaders can increase individual engagement and creativity in organizations, especially when undergoing significant changes. From the students' perspective, digital transformation encourages the emergence of a more independent, reflective, and flexible learning culture. Students are no longer dependent on face-to-face meetings but are accustomed to accessing materials online, interacting through discussion forums, and managing their study time. One student stated: "I study anytime from my cell phone. However, that also requires me to be disciplined. Otherwise, I could fall behind."

Implementing an integrated information system facilitates real-time tracking of attendance, grades, research activities, and lecturer community service. One rector said, "With the digital system, we can make quick decisions because the data is complete and accurate. This has an impact on accreditation and performance evaluation." Institutional performance has improved in service, administrative efficiency, accreditation reporting, and academic publications. The campus also appears more professional in the eyes of new students, industry partners, and government agencies. This strengthens the institution's competitiveness and reputation at the national and even international levels. (Zamora-

Polo & Sánchez-Martín, 2019) shows that structured digitalization can be a strategy for improving the competitiveness of higher education institutions, especially in the context of globalization and changes in the labour market.

From a transformational leadership perspective, the impact of cultural change and institutional performance results from value mobilization, shared vision, and individual empowerment within the organization. (Galvis & Carvajal, 2022) emphasize that transformational leaders motivate emotionally and direct collective energy toward meaningful strategic goals. The digital transformation that positively impacts institutional culture and performance demonstrates the success of leaders in uniting various dimensions of change: technology, people, and organization. However, this effectiveness also depends heavily on leaders' sensitivity to the diversity of individual needs and their ability to adapt structurally.

Digital transformation in higher education profoundly impacts organizational culture and institutional performance. These changes include transitioning from a bureaucratic culture to a collaborative one, increased creativity among lecturers, student independence, and overall institutional efficiency and competitiveness. However, these positive impacts can only materialize when transformational leadership is genuinely present: facilitating, listening, and driving all organizational components toward a common direction. Thus, digital transformation is not merely a technical project but a process of redefining higher education institutions' identity, values, and future.

Discussion

The results of this study confirm that transformational leadership plays an important role in shaping organizational behaviour during the digital transition process. Leaders who have a forward-looking vision, open communication, and an empowering style have proven to be able to drive institutional cultural change. This is in line with the four dimensions of transformational leadership from (Garcez et al., 2022), particularly idealized influence and inspirational motivation. Furthermore, the findings indicate a strong correlation between participatory leadership styles and innovation adoption across various institutional units. When academic leaders involve lecturers and students in the planning and testing of digital platforms, a sense of ownership and user satisfaction increases significantly. This is consistent with the research by (Giesenbauer & Müller-Christ, 2020), which states that empowerment by transformational leaders can enhance organizational innovation. This study also provides empirical evidence of the importance of collaboration in the sustainability of digital initiatives. The formation of cross-unit task forces and feedback mechanisms between leaders, lecturers, and students successfully strengthened the learning management system (LMS) implementation. Integrating digital services between academic and administrative units strengthened transparency and efficiency, as per the concept of "innovation climate" proposed by (Zhou et al., 2023). Theoretically, this study enriches the discourse on transformational leadership by proving its relevance in higher education institutions in developing countries—a context that often faces bureaucratic challenges and infrastructure limitations. Practically, the findings provide applicable insights for higher education leaders and policymakers. Institutional leaders are encouraged to adopt participatory strategies and create an ecosystem that enables the entire academic community to propose, test, and refine digital innovations. This study also recommends transparent communication and ongoing dialogue to maintain motivation during the transformation process.

This study has several limitations. First, the scope of the study only covers one higher education institution, so the results cannot be generalized widely. Variations in organizational culture and digital readiness in other institutions may produce different findings. Second, the data sources focused on leaders, lecturers, and students. Although these are highly relevant, the absence of perspectives from educational staff, alums, or external stakeholders (such as the government or industry partners) is a limitation that should be noted. Third, the qualitative approach may contain subjective biases from respondents and researchers. Although the thematic analysis was conducted carefully and using triangulation, nuances of personal experiences still influence the results. This study also did not explore the technical aspects of digital platforms in depth.

Future research should expand the location and type of institutions to test the consistency of findings in different contexts. Comparative studies between public, private, or international institutions could enrich the understanding of the effectiveness of leadership in digital transformation. Using mixed methods, combining quantitative survey data with interviews could provide a complete picture, including correlations between leadership behaviour and institutional performance. Future research could also explore the competencies digital leaders need in the education sector—those capable of leading people and understanding technological systems. Longitudinal studies are also needed to assess leadership's long-term impact on innovation's sustainability. Digital transformation in higher education has significant social and ethical implications. This study highlights the technological access gap, especially among students from remote areas or lecturers with low digital literacy. Ethical leaders need to be aware that digitization can widen the gap if not designed to be inclusive. Institutional policies should be geared toward equitable access, such as providing vulnerable groups with devices, internet subsidies, and digital training. In addition, the use of digital platforms must consider data privacy, transparency, and protection against misuse of personal information. Finally, it is important to ensure that technological efficiency does not sacrifice human values in education. Academic leaders need to balance system modernization with pedagogical empathy, ensuring that digital education remains centred on the needs of learners and grounded in ethics.

4. CONCLUSION

This study contributes significantly to the understanding of how transformational leadership plays a role in driving digital transformation in higher education, particularly in the context of developing countries. Through an exploratory qualitative approach, it was found that the success of digitization in higher education institutions is not only determined by the availability of technology but fundamentally depends on institutional leaders' style, strategy, and value orientation. Leaders who display transformational characteristics—namely, having a clear digital vision, opening up space for participation, empowering the academic community, and being responsive to individual and institutional challenges—succeed in creating a collaborative ecosystem that supports sustainable innovation. The digital transformation led by inclusive values and strategies positively impacts organizational culture change, academic performance improvement, and student independence and satisfaction in the learning process. In addition, this study shows that a participatory leadership style can mitigate resistance to change, reduce adaptation gaps between groups, and strengthen ownership of implemented digital policies. However, digitalization without an ethical approach and awareness of social disparities risks widening inequalities and eroding human values in higher education. Theoretically, this study expands the scope of transformational leadership theory to digital higher education in a non-Western context. Practically, these findings serve as a reference for institutions undergoing or designing digital transformations to consider leadership factors as a key dimension in their planning and implementation.

Acknowledgments: In this section, you can acknowledge any support given, which is not covered by the author's contribution or funding sections. This may include administrative and technical support, or donations in kind (e.g., materials used for experiments).

Conflicts of Interest: Declare conflicts of interest or state "The authors declare no conflict of interest." Authors must identify and declare any personal circumstances or interests that may be perceived as inappropriately influencing the representation or interpretation of reported research results.

REFERENCES

- Al-Husseini, S., El Beltagi, I., & Moizer, J. (2021). Transformational leadership and innovation: the mediating role of knowledge sharing amongst higher education faculty. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 24(5), 670–693. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603124.2019.1588381>
- Alenezi, M., & Akour, M. (2023). Digital Transformation Blueprint in Higher Education: A Case Study

of PSU. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15108204>

14 Barzman, M., Gerphagnon, M., Aubin-Houzelstein, G., Baron, G. L., Bénart, A., Bouchet, F., ... Mora, O. (2021). Exploring Digital Transformation in Higher Education and Research via Scenarios. *Journal of Futures Studies*, 25(3), 65–78. [https://doi.org/10.6531/JFS.202103_25\(3\).0006](https://doi.org/10.6531/JFS.202103_25(3).0006)

29 Bebbington, W. (2021). Leadership strategies for a higher education sector in flux. *Studies in Higher Education*, 46(1), 158–165. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2020.1859686>

18 Bryant, J., Ayers, J., Missimer, M., & Broman, G. (2021). Transformational learning for sustainability leadership – essential components in synergy. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 22(8), 190–207. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-01-2021-0014>

5 Farias-Gaytan, S., Aguaded, I., & Ramirez-Montoya, M. S. (2022). Transformation and digital literacy: Systematic literature mapping. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(2), 1417–1437. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10624-x>

Farias-Gaytan, S., Aguaded, I., & Ramirez-Montoya, M. S. (2023). Digital transformation and digital literacy in the context of complexity within higher education institutions: a systematic literature review. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-01875-9>

17 Fernández, A., Gómez, B., Binjaku, K., & Meçe, E. K. (2023). Digital transformation initiatives in higher education institutions: A multivocal literature review. In *Education and Information Technologies* (Vol. 28). Springer US. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11544-0>

11 Gafurov, I. R., Safiullin, M. R., Akhmetshin, E. M., Gapsalamov, A. R., & Vasilev, V. L. (2020). Change of the higher education paradigm in the context of digital transformation: From resource management to access control. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 9(3), 71–85. <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v9n3p71>

9 Galvis, Á. H., & Carvajal, D. (2022). Learning from success stories when using eLearning and bLearning modalities in higher education: a meta-analysis and lessons towards digital educational transformation. In *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education* (Vol. 19). Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-022-00325-x>

25 Garcez, A., Silva, R., & Franco, M. (2022). Digital transformation shaping structural pillars for academic entrepreneurship: A framework proposal and research agenda. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(1), 1159–1182. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10638-5>

4 Giesenbauer, B., & Müller-Christ, G. (2020). University 4.0: Promoting the transformation of higher education institutions toward sustainable development. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(8). <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12083371>

13 Green, C., Mynhier, L., Banfill, J., Edwards, P., Kim, J., & Desjardins, R. (2020). Preparing education for the crises of tomorrow: A framework for adaptability. *International Review of Education*, 66(5–6), 857–879. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11159-020-09878-3>

22 Gruzina, Y. M., Mel'nychuk, M. V., & Belogash, M. A. (2020). Leadership Ideas Shaped by Digital Insights in Higher Education. *Management Science*, 9(4), 75–84. <https://doi.org/10.26794/2404-022x-2019-9-4-75-84>

2 Hardiansyah, F., Sukitman, T., Wahdian, A., & Hodairiyah, H. (2024). The Use of Differentiated Digital Learning Models to Enhance Science Problem-Solving in Elementary Schools. *AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 16(2), 1462–1474. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.35445/alishlah.v16i2.5087>

Hardiansyah, F., & Zainuddin, Z. (2022). The Influence of Principal's Motivation, Communication, and Parental Participation on Elementary School Teachers' Performance. *Al Ibtida: Jurnal Pendidikan Guru MI*, 9(2), 319–334. <https://doi.org/10.24235/al.ibtida.snj.v9i2.9936>

30 Henderikx, M., & Stoffers, J. (2022). An Exploratory Literature Study into Digital Transformation and Leadership: Toward Future-Proof Middle Managers. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(2), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14020687>

24 Khoza, S. B., & Mpungose, C. B. (2022). Digitalised curriculum to the rescue of a higher education institution. *African Identities*, 20(4), 310–330. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14725843.2020.1815517>

- 23 Klempin, S., & Karp, M. M. (2018). Leadership for Transformative Change: Lessons From Technology-Mediated Reform in Broad-Access Colleges. *Journal of Higher Education*, 89(1), 81–105. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221546.2017.1341754>
- 8 Laufer, M., Leiser, A., Deacon, B., Perrin de Brichambaut, P., Fecher, B., Kobsda, C., & Hesse, F. (2021). Digital higher education: a divider or bridge builder? Leadership perspectives on edtech in a COVID-19 reality. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 18(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00287-6>
- 20 Loorbach, D. A., & Wittmayer, J. (2024). Transforming universities: Mobilizing research and education for sustainability transitions at Erasmus University Rotterdam, The Netherlands. *Sustainability Science*, 19(1), 19–33. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-023-01335-y>
- 33 Mohamed Hashim, M. A., Tlemsani, I., & Matthews, R. (2022). Higher education strategy in digital transformation. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(June 2021), 3171–3195. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10739-1>
- 16 Ngoc, H. D., Hoang, L. H., & Hung, V. X. (2020). Transforming education with emerging technologies in higher education: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 9(5), 252–258. <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v9n5p252>
- 7 Otto, S., Bertel, L. B., Lyngdorf, N. E. R., Markman, A. O., Andersen, T., & Ryberg, T. (2024). Emerging Digital Practices Supporting Student-Centered Learning Environments in Higher Education: A Review of Literature and Lessons Learned from the Covid-19 Pandemic. *Education and Information Technologies*, 29(2), 1673–1696. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-11789-3>
- 34 Rof, A., Bikfalvi, A., & Marquès, P. (2020). Digital transformation for business model innovation in higher education: Overcoming the tensions. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12124980>
- 4 Trevisan, L. V., Eustachio, J. H. P. P., Dias, B. G., Filho, W. L., & Pedrozo, E. Á. (2024). Digital transformation towards sustainability in higher education: state-of-the-art and future research insights. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 26(2), 2789–2810. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-022-02874-7>
- 6 Vachkova, S. N., Petryaeva, E. Y., Tsyrenova, M. G., Shukshina, L. V., Krashenninnikova, N. A., & Leontev, M. G. (2022). Competitive Higher Education Teacher for the Digital World. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 14(4). <https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/12553>
- 19 Valdés, K. N., Alpera, S. Q. Y., & Suárez, L. M. C. (2021). An institutional perspective for evaluating digital transformation in higher education: Insights from the Chilean case. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(17), 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13179850>
- Zamora-Polo, F., & Sánchez-Martín, J. (2019). Teaching for a Better World. Sustainability and Sustainable Development Goals in the Construction of a Change-Maker University. *Sustainability*, Vol. 11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11154224>
- 15 Zhou, X., Smith, C. J. M. B., & Al-Samarraie, H. (2023). Digital technology adaptation and initiatives: a systematic review of teaching and learning during COVID-19. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, (0123456789). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12528-023-09376-z>
- 21 Żywiołek, J., Tucmeanu, E. R., Tucmeanu, A. I., Isac, N., & Yousaf, Z. (2022). Nexus of Transformational Leadership, Employee Adaptiveness, Knowledge Sharing, and Employee Creativity. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(18), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141811607>