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Abstract

This study aims to see the effect of literacy skills on students' critical thinking skills. This research type is a correlational study to know the relationship between literacy skills and students' critical thinking skills. The total population is 168 students, and the level of precision is set at 10%. A sample of 63 respondents will be obtained, taken using a simple random sampling technique. The data analysis used in this study is a simple regression analysis with the product-moment correlation coefficient formula. The results showed that literacy skills positively correlate with students' critical thinking skills by 80%. It shows that besides literacy, there are still 20% of other factors that can affect students' critical thinking skills.

INTRODUCTION

The ability to think critically is one thing that needs to be considered to give birth to individuals who can meet global demands. In this era, the increasing recognition and the importance of developing learners' critical thinking is a part of educational aims across the world (M. A. Ab Kadir, 2010; M. Akshir Ab Kadir, 2017; Paul, 1995; Wegerif, R., Li, L., & Kaufman, 2015). There is increased...
recognition of the importance of developing students' critical thinking as part of educational goals worldwide. Critical thinking is critical to be developed and improved.

In the process of critical thinking, things happen like distinguishing sharply, thinking carefully, choosing the best, identifying, evaluating, and developing ideas or ideas for the better. Johnson argues that critical thinking is a pure process of brain activity or mentality that aims to solve problems, make decisions, invite or persuade, analyze an assumption, and conduct scientific research (Johnson, 2007).

The ability to think critically is influenced by several factors, both internal and external factors. International educational policymakers and scholarship stress the importance to increase climate literacy in people, which means supporting their acquisition of specific knowledge and skills to respond to climate change, such as critical thinking and systemic approaches for identifying interrelations of several topics/problems (Bangay & Blum, 2010; Burandt & Barth, 2010; Otto et al., 2019). The policy of international education scholars emphasizes the importance of increasing literacy activities to gain knowledge and skills such as critical thinking and systemic approaches to identify the linkages of several topics or problems. It shows that literacy activities can enhance students' critical thinking.

Literacy activities can improve students' critical thinking skills. In today's critical condition, interdisciplinary forms of education can be expanded and achieved if universities pay attention to open and flexible learning ways. (Otto et al., 2019; Teixeira, A., Mota, 2014). According to (Slameto, 2010), some factors influence learning achievement, including internal and external factors. Internal factors include physical, psychological factors (interests, literacy activities, self-regulated learning, etc.) and fatigue factors. External factors include teaching factors, school factors (teaching methods from teachers/lecturers, curriculum, student relations with students, school time, school discipline), and community factors.

Indicators of students' thinking abilities include interpretation, analysis, evaluation, and inference. From these indicators, good literacy skills are needed. Critical thinking in literacy is an in-depth activity in evaluating and analytical activities (Pujiono, 2012). Literacy activities are the ability to identify, determine, find, evaluate, create effectively and organized, use and communicate information to overcome various problems. Having literacy skills will have significant growth in critical thinking skills (Kong, 2014). These abilities need to be possessed by each individual to participate in the information society, which is part of fundamental human rights regarding lifelong learning.

The results of the TIMSS (Trends in International Mathematical and Science Study) survey and the Program for International Student Assessment (PISA) shows Indonesia in the bottom ten rankings. From these results, 78% of Indonesian students can only do questions in the low category, which is only knowing or memorizing. It shows that the material being taught does not emphasize reasoning. If the material being taught does not emphasize reasoning, then; as a result, students lack critical thinking skills (Rahayuni, 2016). Based on observations that have been made, the activities carried out by students of the Education Study Program are very low. It can be seen from the number of library visitors, only 34% of the total students. Even then, those who mainly visited semester eight worked on their thesis. While learning, many of the students did not record what they had learned. They only photographed the writing on the board. Currently, library and information literacy instruction is one of the most relevant and valued services in academic libraries (Extremeño et al., 2013; Fernández-Ramos, 2019; Long, M. P., & Schonfeld, n.d.; Wolff, C., Rod, A. B., & Schonfeld, 2016). It is unfortunate because students do not use the library as a form of literacy activities.

Gherardini, M. (Gherardini, 2016) researched the effect of learning methods and critical thinking skills on scientific literacy skills. His research results state an interaction effect of learning methods with critical thinking skills on scientific literacy skills. In this study, we will review the influence of literacy skills on students' critical thinking skills. Problem-based on the description
above, the researcher is interested in assessing students’ literacy skills in the Mathematics Education Study Program.

METHODS

This research is quantitative research, including correlational research, namely research intended to determine whether there is a relationship between two or more variables in a study. This type of correlation research is used to find a relationship between two or more independent variables and the dependent variable. Any construct variables can be searched for the relationship in research as long as it is supported by theory. Based on the direction of the relationship, positive and negative relationships are distinguished. Widodo (Widodo, 2009) argues that "based on the number of variables, a single relationship, multiple relationships, multiple relationships, cycle relationships, and path correlation are distinguished."

This research was conducted in the Mathematics Education Study Program of the University of PGRI Madiun with a total population of 168 students and a precision level of 10%. A sample of 63 respondents was obtained, which were taken using a simple random sampling technique. The instrument used in this study was critical thinking skills test and literacy skills test. The contains literacy skills test contains 30 items, and the essential skills of thinking test contain 25 items. In this study, to assess whether the critical thinking skills test and literacy skills test have the content’s validity, the assessment was carried out by the validator. The number of validators in this study was three people. The instrument is said to have good content validity if two or three people put a checkmark on the validation sheet. To calculate the internal consistency of item i, the formula used is the product-moment formula from Karl Pearson, as follows:

\[
r_{xy} = \frac{n \sum xy - (\sum x)(\sum y)}{\sqrt{(n \sum x^2 - (\sum x)^2)(n \sum y^2 - (\sum y)^2)}} \]

………………… (Budiyono, 2016)

Information: \( r_{xy} \) = internal consistency index for item i; \( n \) = the number of subjects who were subjected to a questionnaire; \( X \) = score for item i (from test subjects); \( Y \) = total score (from trial subjects)

If there are n items, then the calculation will be done n times. If the internal consistency index for item i is less than 0.3, then it should be discarded. Reliability tests are carried out to see whether the questionnaire items are reliable or not, using the Alpha Formula. The Alpha Formula is:

\[
r_{11} = \frac{n}{n-1} \left[ 1 - \frac{\sum s_i^2}{s_t^2} \right] \]

………………… (Budiyono, 2016)

Information: \( r_{11} \) = instrument reliability index; \( n \) = number of instrument items; \( s_i^2 \) = the variance of item-i, \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4, ..., n; \) \( s_t^2 \) = the variance of the trial subjects’ scores.

Instruments with a reliability index of more than 0.7 or \( r_{11} > 0.7 \) are only considered good or used in conjunction with a reliability test (Budiyono, 2016). The instrument is said to be reliable, according to (Arifin, 2017). If the correlation number is above 0.60 and less than 1, then the instrument has a high or reliable correlation. In contrast, if the correlation number is below 0.50, then the instrument has a low or unreliable correlation.

The analysis used in this study is a simple correlation analysis to see the relationship between the independent variable and the dependent variable. This study's dependent variable is the ability of critical thinking expressed in Y. This study's independent variable was expressed in literacy activities X. Simple correlation test was expressed in the formula product-moment correlation coefficient \((product-moment)\). According to (Sugiyono, 2018) there is an influence between the independent and dependent variables if.

Before a simple correlation analysis is carried out, the prerequisite tests are first carried out, namely the normality test, linearity test, and multicollinearity test. The normality test was carried out using the lilliefors method. The sample can come from a normally distributed population.
if $L_{\text{count}} \leq L_{\text{table}}$ has a 5% significance level (Budiyono, 2016). The linearity test was carried out using the F test to determine whether the variables $X$ and $Y$ in this study had a linear relationship as a condition for regression analysis. The variables $X$ and $Y$ can be linear if $F_{\text{count}} < F_{\text{table}}$ (Budiyono, 2016).

A detailed description of your method in conducting research needs to be provided in this section. This section explains how long the research was conducted, population and sample (research targets), data collecting techniques and instrument development, and data analysis. For research using tools and materials, please write down the specifications for the equipment and materials. For qualitative research such as classroom activities, case studies, etc., there should be an increase in the research, research subjects, informants that participate in supporting the research data, location and duration of the research, and details regarding the validity of the research.

**FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION**

This study took a sample of 63 students. The single data from each variable is presented in tables 1 and 2.

### Table 1. Summary of Data Literacy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>60,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>58,492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modus</td>
<td>44,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>190,123,623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>434,902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The content validity results indicated that the research instrument had been fulfilled because of the reviewers’ criteria’ suitability. Testing the literacy instrument on 20 students showed that of the 30 items, 24 items were included in the criteria for an excellent internal consistency index, which was more than 0.3. The instrument is said to be good if it has an internal consistency of more than 0.3, and if it is less than 0.3, then the instrument grain is discarded (Budiyono, 2016; Susanti, 2013). The instrument for measuring literacy skills was used as many as 20 items. Against 20 valid items, this price $r_{11} = 0.793$ is obtained means that the instrument is reliable and is used in research. Instruments with a reliability index of more than 0.7 or $r_{11} > 0.7$ are only considered good or used in conjunction with a reliability test (Budiyono, 2016).

The results of testing instruments that measure the critical thinking skills of 20 students show that from 25 items, 21 of which are valid criteria. The instrument to measure critical thinking skills used is 20 items obtained this price $r_{11} = 0.831$ means the instrument can be reliable so that it can be used in research.

The test requirements that are carried out before testing the hypothesis are the normality test and linearity test. The results of the prerequisite test show that the data is usually distributed and linear. As for the summary, prerequisite test results are presented in Tables 3 and 4.
Table 3. Summary of Data Normality Test Results Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equipment</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Lcount</th>
<th>Ltable</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Literacy</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical thinking ability</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Summary of Linearity Test Results for Independent Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Lcount</th>
<th>Ltable</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Literacy</td>
<td>1;61</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>1.670</td>
<td>Linear</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After the analysis prerequisite test is met, it is continued to test the hypothesis. Hypothesis testing in this study uses simple regression analysis techniques. The summary of the results of simple linear regression analysis is shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Summary of Results of Linear Regression Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rcount</th>
<th>rtable</th>
<th>R2xy</th>
<th>Coefficient X</th>
<th>Constanst</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.821</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>37.126</td>
<td>28.742</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 shows that literacy has a positive correlation with students' critical thinking skills. The analysis results obtained the coefficient of determination $r^2_{xy}$ of 0.804, it means that literacy skills can affect students' critical thinking skills by 80%.

Furthermore, the constant number is 28.742, and the coefficient for literacy skill ($X$) is 37.126, then the regression equation can be stated as follows: $\hat{Y} = 37.126 + 28.742X$. This equation shows that if literacy skills ($X$) increase by 1 point, then critical thinking skills are 28.742. It is also following the results of tests carried out by Siu Cheung Kong's research that students have statistically significant growth in information literacy competencies and critical thinking skills (Kong, S. C., 2014). Besides, there has been a tremendous increase in scientific research related to information literacy (Maddison, T., Doi, C., Lucky, S., & Kumaran, 2017). Much of this scientific and technical literature focuses on literacy skills of this kind (Koneru, 2010; Nagra, K. A., & Coiffe, 2010; Oud, 2009; Summey & Valenti, 2013; Turnbow & Roth, 2017), examples of particular initiatives (Clapp et al., 2013; Georgas, 2014; Holliday et al., 2006; Webb et al., 2017), reviews of best practices (Blummer & Kritskaya, 2009; Dewan & Steeleworthy, 2013; Fernández-Ramos, 2016; Munn & Small, 2017; Somoza-Fernández & Abadal, 2009), analysis of their main characteristics (Fernández-Ramos, 2016; Saunders, 2018; Somoza-Fernández & Abadal, 2009; Yang & Chou, 2014) or studies about their usefulness (Anderson & Mitchell, 2012; Fernández-Ramos, 2019; Haber & Mitchell, 2017; Schweikhard et al., 2018; Weightman et al., 2017).

CONCLUSION

Literacy skills have a positive correlation with students' critical thinking skills by 80%. It shows that besides literacy, there are still 20% of other factors that can affect students' critical thinking skills. In this study, the research subject used was still less than 100, and the research was still carried out on 3rd-semester students of mathematics education at the Universitas PGRI Madiun. For future research, it is hoped that a broader subject will be taken, and items from each instrument can be added. Besides, this study's results should be used to consider the importance of the influence of literacy on students' critical thinking skills so that it is expected to improve student achievement.
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